

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

JOSHUA LEE PARKHURST,

Plaintiff,

v.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:16-cv-01441-RFB-NJK

ORDER

Report & Recommendation of Magistrate
Judge Nancy J. Koppe (ECF No. 4)

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 4] of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered August 11, 2016.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by August 25, 2016. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

ORDER

Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation [ECF No. 4] is
ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.

DATED: September 26, 2016.



RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II
United States District Judge